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Speech Pathologist Role

- Team member providing input regarding potential effects of treatment on voice, speech and swallowing
- Determine patient’s level of understanding of upcoming treatment
- Provide information to patient regarding SLP/patient roles during/post-treatment
- Manage voice, speech and swallowing problems
Pre-treatment Counseling

- What is patient’s understanding of upcoming tx? Expectations?
- Explain SLP role in rehabilitation
- Define patient’s role in rehabilitation
- Predicting outcome re: voice, speech and swallowing post-tx
Treatment Information – helps predict degree of impairment

- Operative reports
- Chemo and radiotherapy summary reports (i.e., ports/fields of treatment for radiotherapy)
- Communication with physicians regarding resection/reconstruction and any complications
Treatment - management

- Wait until consult to assess patients for communication/swallowing – timing depends on type of surgery and reconstruction

- Follow patients during and after tx:
  - Anticipate changes/relapse in voice (i.e., laryngeal, esophageal, tep), speech and swallowing
  - Anticipate reduced ability to practice exercises
  - Provide encouragement support and monitoring of functioning
Partial laryngectomy – vertical/hemilaryngectomy

- Standard resection
- Extended hemilaryngectomy
Hemilaryngectomy - reconstruction

- Using portion of epiglottis to fill surgical defect
- Epiglottic pull-down
- Using available tissue/fascia
- Using free flap reconstruction (i.e., radial forearm free flap)
Hemilaryngectomy - disorders

- Reduced glottic closure
- Reduced laryngeal vestibule closure
  (particularly if resection and/or reconstruction involves epiglottis and/or arytenoid cartilage or reconstruction with free flap)
Hemilaryngectomy- VFG study

- examine laryngeal anatomy
- examine airway closure at vestibule and glottic levels
- introduce strategies to improve airway closure
Hemilaryngectomy - VFG study

- chin down
- head rotation
- chin down & head rotation
- super supraglottic swallow
- super supraglottic swallow & chin down (& head rotation)
- thicker boluses
Treatment: Postures*

- 165 consecutive patients with aspiration noted on MBS, with patient population including:
  - 59% H&N
  - 47% CVA
  - 12% closed head injury
  - 9% progressive neurologic disease
  - 7% adductor paralysis
  - 5% generalized weakness
  - 4% Zenker’s diverticulum
  - 3% cerebral palsy
  - 3% CNS involvement from AIDS
  - 2% craniotomy for aneurysm repair
  - 4% uncertain

Treatment Options: Postures*

Postures were attempted, based on swallow physiology, including:

- chin tuck
- head back
- head rotation
- side lying

Treatment Options: Postures*

Results:

- 127 (77%) patients aspiration was eliminated for at least 1 bolus volume
- aspiration was eliminated for all four bolus volumes and cup drinking in 25% of patients (chin tuck in 25% of patients; head rotation in 26% of patients)
- of the 23% where aspiration was not eliminated:
  - primarily due to patient’s inability to perform and maintain postures or;
  - severity of head and neck surgical procedures (i.e., extended partial laryngectomy procedures)

Treatment: Postures*

- Examined 32 consecutive H&N surgical patients with aspiration on liquids during MBS exam
- Postures +/- maneuvers were attempted, based on swallow physiology, including:
  - chin tuck
  - head back
  - head rotation
  - head rotation plus supraglottic swallow
  - chin tuck plus supraglottic swallow
  - combined head rotation and chin tuck
  - side lying

Treatment: Postures*

- 81% (26) were able to swallow at least one volume of liquid without aspiration with postures
- each posture eliminated aspiration in at least 50% of the patients
- in 19% of the patients, postural techniques enabled safe cup drinking
- postures were ineffective in 6 patients:
  - 2 extended supraglottic laryngectomees
  - 2 extended hemilaryngectomees
  - 1 with tracheal resection and unilateral TVC paralysis
  - 1 oral composite resection and pharyngeal wall resection

Supraglottic laryngectomy - reconstruction

- Laryngeal suspension
- Replacement of portion of arytenoid with tissue/bone
Supraglottic laryngectomy - disorders

- Reduced/incomplete closure of airway entrance
- Reduced glottic closure (if portion of arytenoids and/or vocal folds are resected)
- Reduced pharyngeal clearance
- Impaired tongue base motion (particularly if portion of BOT is resected)
Supraglottic laryngectomy – VFG study

- Examine laryngeal anatomy
- Examine airway closure
  - supraglottic – laryngeal vestibule closure is now defined by tongue base to arytenoid contact
  - glottic
Supraglottic Laryngectomy - VFG study

- super supraglottic swallow
- head rotation
- chin down
- chin down & head rotation
- chin down, head rotation, & super supraglottic swallow
- thicken liquids
- lying down
Supraglottic Laryngectomy - treatment

- tongue base retraction exercises
- effortful swallow
- tongue-hold maneuver (Masako)
- supraglottic/glottic closure exercises
  - super supraglottic swallow
  - voice exercises
- biofeedback – videonasendoscopy to visualize airway closure techniques
Cricohyoepiglottopexy (CHEP) and Near total laryngectomy

- Tumor is typically laryngeal
- Thyroid cartilage is resected
- At least one arytenoid cartilage is preserved to construct neoglottis
- Part/all of cricoid cartilage is preserved
- Some of epiglottis is preserved
- Voice quality varies
- No studies examining swallow physiology
- Patients do aspirate*
- Allows for decannulation

* Laccourreye, et al., 1998
Cricohyoidoepiglottopexy (CHEP) vs. Near total laryngectomy (NTL) – Results*

- Mean decannulation time similar (27 days)
- NG removal 23 days (CHEP) vs. 17 days (NTL)
- No pulmonary complications
- 9/17 CHEP pts vs. 6/21 NTL pts had grade 1 late post-operative aspiration

Total Laryngectomy - disorders

- mild reduction in tongue movement
- stricture
- pseudo-epiglottis
- pharyngo-cutaneous fistula
- tracheo-esophageal fistula
Total Laryngectomy - treatment

- reduction in tongue movement - tongue exercises
- stricture – dilatation, postural changes: head rotation
- pseudo-epiglottis - surgical removal
- pharyngo-cutaneous fistula – closure
- tracheo-esophageal fistula - closure
Laryngopharyngoesophagectomy (with gastric pull-up)

- swallow typically unimpaired
- no PE segment
- altered peristalsis
- treatment:
  - creation of PG (pharyngo-gastric) segment for voice production
Laryngopharyngoesophagectomy (esophageal reconstruction): Reconstruction techniques

- Gastric pull-up
- Jejunum transposition
- Tubed radial forearm free flap
Esophagectomy without Laryngectomy - Disorders

- impaired laryngeal vestibule closure
- impaired laryngeal elevation/gastric opening
Esophagectomy without Laryngectomy - Treatment

- chin down posture
- chin down posture + super supraglottic swallow
- Mendelsohn maneuver
- falsetto exercises
- Shaker exercises
Treatment: Postures*

- examined 21 patients with esophagectomy
- all patients underwent MBS evaluation
- chin tuck posture eliminated aspiration in 81% of the patients

Treatment: Postures*

- 73 esophagectomy patients examined by MBS (4 - 35 days post-op)
- Identification of laryngeal penetration and/or aspiration

Treatment: Postures*

- Results: 47% demonstrated laryngeal penetration/aspiration
- 5% demonstrated silent aspiration
- 32% diagnosed with leak at anastomosis

Treatment: Postures*

- Of patients without leaks:
  - Compensatory swallow techniques tried on 40% of penetrators/aspirators (postures and/or maneuvers (SSG))
  - 90% of patient penetration and/or aspiration were eliminated

Management of oral cancer patients

- pre- and post-op counseling
- bedside exam
- radiographic study of swallowing
- therapy and/or construction of palatal prostheses
Types of oral cancer surgeries

- partial glossectomy
- anterior composite resection
- posterior/lateral composite resection
- total glossectomy
Surgical reconstruction in oral cancer surgery

- primary closure
- local flap (i.e., tongue flap)
- regional flap (i.e., chest flap)
- distal/free flap (i.e., radial forearm free flap)
- sensate flap
Anterior Oral Cancer Resection - disorders

- Reduced bolus control and chewing
- Reduced bolus hold and seal against palate
- Slowed oral transit with repetitive tongue movements
- Delayed pharyngeal swallow
- Reduced laryngeal elevation and cricopharyngeal opening (if floor of mouth muscles are cut/damaged)
Posterior Oral/Oropharyngeal Surgery - disorders

- reduced bolus control and chewing
- slowed oral transit w/repetitive tongue movements
- delayed pharyngeal swallow
- reduced tongue base retraction
- reduced pharyngeal contraction
- reduced laryngeal elevation/reduced UES opening
Total glossectomy - disorders

- no chewing ability
- limited ability to propel bolus into pharynx
- delayed pharyngeal swallow
- reduced tongue base motion
- reduced laryngeal motion/reduced UES opening
Clinical exam following oral cancer surgery

- assess lingual, mandibular, and palatal motion
- assess labial and lingual sensation
- assess labial and lingual ROM
- assess triggering of pharyngeal swallow
- assess lingual-palatal contacts/narrowing for stop consonants, affricates and fricatives
Radiographic study of swallowing

- define oral anatomy and dynamics
- assess bolus volume effects
- assess bolus viscosity effects
- therapeutic strategies
  - super supraglottic swallow
  - dump and swallow
  - thermal/tactile stimulation
  - Mendelsohn maneuver
  - Effortful swallow
  - Postures – head tilt/head back
Speech and swallow therapy after oral cancer surgery

- range of motion exercises for tongue and jaw (and lips, as needed)
- lingual control exercises
- tongue strengthening exercises
- thermal/tactile stimulation
- construction of intraoral prosthetics
- swallow maneuvers, as appropriate
- speech exercises
Furia, et al., (2000)*

- Examined swallowing via MBS in glossectomy patients
  - Partial glossectomy
  - Subtotal glossectomy
  - Total glossectomy


- Increased oral transit times
- Stasis of food in oral cavity, pharynx and UES region
- 2/15 with moderate and silent aspiration (both pts had pre-op XRT)
- Mendelsohn and Supraglottic swallow improved swallow function
- Compensation with lips and mandible
Furia, et al., (2001)*

- Examined speech in 3 groups of glossectomy patients (total, subtotal, partial)
- Examined speech pre- and post-speech tx
  - Maximizing residual lingual movement
  - Articulatory compensation
  - Reducing rate
  - Improving intonation, pause duration, intensity

Furia, et al., (2001)

- Significant improvement in mean intelligibility for groups 1 (total) and 2 (subtotal), but not for group 3 (partial glossectomy)
- 25/27 were receiving all oral nutrition following tx program
- Even with 50% tongue resection, vowel and consonant articulation was good
Treatment: ROM exercises*

- Examined speech & swallow function in 102 patients surgically treated for oral and oropharyngeal CA to determine relationship between total amount of speech and swallow tx between 1 and 3 months post-op and changes in global measures of speech and swallow functions:
  - OPSE (oropharyngeal swallow efficiency measure)
  - Intelligibility

Treatment: ROM exercises*

- 102 pts received speech tx, 92 pts received swallow tx (including ROM, sensory enhancement, maneuvers)

- ROM exercises included:
  - Lips
  - Tongue
  - Jaw
  - Larynx
    - Falsetto
    - Effortful breath-hold

Treatment: ROM exercises*

- Significantly higher OPSE measures in the ROM exercise group
- Greater number of bolus types and volumes during MBS re-evaluation
- Significantly higher intelligibility scores in the ROM exercise group

Treatment: Strengthening Exercises*

- Case study: effects of tongue strengthening exercises in a surgically treated oral cancer patient
- Examined improvement in tongue strength and in swallow functioning

Treatment: Strengthening Exercises*

- Found that tongue strength improved with exercise program
- Found that swallow functioning improved with exercise program
- Found that diet options increased with exercise program

Treatment: Strengthening Exercises*

- 31 healthy subjects
- ages 20 to 29 years
- 23 females and 8 males (mean age = 26 yrs)

Treatment: Tongue Strengthening*

- Subjects were randomized to one of three groups:
  - 1) no exercise group
  - 2) exercise group receiving standard tongue strength exercises using a tongue depressor
  - 3) exercise group receiving tongue strength exercises with the IOPI

Treatment: Tongue Strengthening - Exercise Protocol

Tongue function testing included:
- maximal isometric pressure generation
- (Pmax = strength)
- submaximal pressure generation
- (50% of Pmax = endurance)
# Maximum Strength*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Treatment</th>
<th>With Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>69.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p-value compares baseline to 1 month

Treatment: Tongue Strengthening - Exercise Protocol*

- 56 patients with nasopharyngeal CA who underwent CXRT
- Patients were randomized to tx/no tx groups pre-CXRT
- Variables:
  - Tongue strength
  - Swallowing (OPSE)
  - QOL (SWAL-QOL)
- Results: no significant changes in tongue strength or swallow function from pre to post-tx; significant changes in SWAL-QOL measures

Benefits of tongue strengthening exercises on swallowing in chemoradiotherapy patients??
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Treatment: Strengthening Exercises*

- Healthy subjects placed on Shaker exercise program
- Laryngeal excursion increased
- UES opening increased

Treatment: Strengthening Exercises*

- Dysphagic patients placed on Shaker exercise program
- Laryngeal excursion increased
- UES opening increased
- Swallow function improved, with reduction in aspiration, improvement in diet type/PO vs NPO status

Treatment: Strengthening Exercises*

- LSVT improves lingual strength and QOL in Parkinson’s patients*
  - Increased tongue strength
  - Improved QOL (via SWAL-QOL)
- LSVT improves swallow function**
  - Shorter oral transit times
  - Reduced oral residue
  - Improved triggering of pharyngeal swallow


Treatment: Strengthening Exercises*

- Respiratory strength training exercise improves swallow function
  - Increased hyoid motion during swallows
  - Reduced pharyngeal residue during swallows
  - Reduced aspiration during swallows

Surgical variables affecting post-operative swallowing efficiency*

- 30 oral cancer patients (15 anterior lesions, 15 lateral floor of mouth/tongue lesions)
- liquids and pastes examined by VFG 3 months post-op
- **Results**: percentage oral tongue and tongue base resected significantly negatively correlated with oropharyngeal swallow efficiency (OPSE)

*McConnel et al. (1994) Laryngoscope, 104:87-90
Primary Closure vs. Flap Reconstruction*

- 284 treated oral cancer patients examined
- primary closure vs. distal flap vs. free flap (matched based on percentage oral tongue, tongue base resected)
- Examined temporal swallow measures and oropharyngeal swallow efficiency (OPSE)
  3 months post-op via VFG examination

Primary closure vs. flap reconstruction - Results*

Flap groups demonstrated:
- sign. lower OPSE
- sign. longer oral transit times
- sign. longer pharyngeal transit times
- sign. greater % oral & pharyngeal residue

Swallowing after microvascular free flap reconstruction (MFFF) (2007)*

- Examined longitudinal swallowing via MBS and scintigraphy in 80 pts with oral and oropharyngeal resection and radial forearm free flap (RFFF) reconstruction in 3 groups of patients:
  - Oral tongue
  - Tongue base
  - Tongue base + tonsil/soft palate

Swallowing after microvascular free flap reconstruction (MFFF) (2007)

- Examined function at 6 and 12 months
- Found no differences in function at 6 vs 12 months
- Pre-swallow leakage to the pharynx occurred in 66% and 70% of patients
- Aspiration occurred in 34% and 25% of patients
- Swallowing severity was influenced by locus of resection (i.e., group 1 < group 2 < group 3)
- Abnormal OPSE for all 3 groups
Palatal Prosthetics

- **Palatal Lift**
  - velar tissue present, but not closing velopharynx: prosthesis elevates tissue for velopharyngeal (VP) closure

- **Palatal Obdurator**
  - Insufficient tissue for VP closure: prosthesis replaces lost tissue for VP closure

- **Palatal Augmentation**
  - insufficient tongue tissue for contact w/palate: prosthesis lowers palatal vault, shapes to the tongue and facilitates tongue-palate contact
SLP role in palatal augmentation prosthesis construction

- work closely with maxillofacial prosthodontist
- determine contour of augmentation (by visual, auditory, tactile inspection, x-ray study)
- provide swallow and speech exercises
- re-assess swallow with an x-ray study
Palatal Augmentation Prosthesis*

- improved oral transit times
- reduced percentage oral residue
- reduced percentage pharyngeal residue
- increased OPSE
- increased bolus head velocity (better tongue force applied to bolus)
- reduced # of repeat dry swallows

Swallow outcomes after oral cancer treatment - complaints

- increased eating time
- reduced diet options (e.g., blenderized, soft foods)
- residue of foods/liquids in surgical site
Swallow outcomes after oral cancer treatment - Diet

Pauloski et al. (1994):
- 38 surgically treated oral cancer patients
- % of patients attempting masticated consistency at 1 month VFG - 42%
- % of patients attempting masticated consistency at 3 month VFG - 66%
Physiologic effects of radiotherapy
- Early effects

- pain, soreness
- ulceration, bleeding
- erythema
- mucositis
- desquamation
- xerostomia
Physiologic effects of radiotherapy
- Late effects
  - damage to arteries, arterioles, and capillaries, resulting in tissue fibrosis
  - bone changes (osteoradionecrosis)
  - trismus (restriction in mouth opening)
  - altered tactile sensation
  - altered or reduced taste sensation
  - altered dental sensation
  - changes in oral flora
Examined two groups of H&N CA patients undergoing chemoradiotherapy

- Group 1 – radiotherapy with tissue/dose compensation (TDC) (n=18)
- Group 2 – high dose radiotherapy without TDC (n=21)
Mittal et al (2001)

- Examined:
  - Swallowing function
  - Speech function
Mittal et al (2001) - Results

- 4 patients developed esophageal strictures, 3 developed laryngeal strictures, laryngeal necrosis and mandibular fracture
- No patients in the TDC group developed any of these problems
- No sign. difference in salivary flow between the two groups (both decreased with time)
Mittal et al (2001) - Results

- TDC group of patients were able to swallow all tested bolus types in higher percentages than the non-TDC group and sign. more so with the 3 and 5mL volumes

- Trend towards better swallow measures, including oropharyngeal swallow efficiency (OPSE), in the TDC group
Mittal et al (2001) - Results

- Speech data revealed no significant differences between groups, but individual consonant sounds were consistently more correctly produced in the TDC group.
Carrara-de Angelis et al (2003)

- Examined voice and swallowing in 15 patients treated for laryngeal or hypopharyngeal CA with chemoRT
- Assessments within 2-9 months post-tx
- Identified swallow disorders
- Performed perceptual and acoustic analyses
Carrara-de Angelis et al (2003) – Results - Voice

- Ratings of dysphonia:
  - normal (7%)
  - mild (27%)
  - moderate (40%)
  - severe (27%)

- All acoustic measures were abnormal

- Significant correlations with perceptual grade and acoustic measures
Carrara-de Angelis et al (2003) – Results - Swallowing

- Reduced bolus formation (93%)
- Reduced bolus propulsion through the pharynx (86%)
- Reduced laryngeal elevation (36%)
- 36% aspirated (80% with silent aspiration)

- Examined 50 patients with nasopharyngeal CA treated with primary radiotherapy
- Examined swallow disorders and durational measures (OTT, PTT)
Hughes et al (2000) - results

- Found increased OTTs and PTTs
- Identified disorders:
  - oral problems
  - delayed triggering of pharyngeal swallow
  - Impaired pharyngeal contraction
  - Reduced laryngeal elevation
- Of 22% who aspirated, 100% exhibited silent aspiration

- Examined 20 patients 1 year post-RT for primary H & N cancer treatment and controls
- Examined pharyngeal structural movement:
  - Distance of hyoid movement
  - Extent of hyoid & larynx approximation
  - Width of UES opening
  - Pharyngeal area at rest and max constriction
Kendall et al (1998) - Results

- Sign. reduced laryngeal elevation as compared to controls
- UES width not sign. different from controls
- Pharyngeal area sign. larger at max constriction as compared to controls
Kotz et al (1999)

- Examined 24 patients with H & N CA (oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx) treated with chemoradiotherapy
- Examined swallow disorders/measures
  - ID of pharyngeal phase disorders
  - Durational measures of laryngeal motion
- Time to VFG: 3 weeks to 44 weeks
Kotz et al (1999) - Results

- Impaired epiglottic motion
- Impaired laryngeal motion
- Impaired pharyngeal constrictor motion
- Greatest impairment of laryngeal motion found in patients with hypopharyngeal CA
- Of 27% who aspirated, all aspirated after the swallow
Lazarus et al (1996)

- Examined swallowing in 9 patients treated with chemoRT for H&N CA with oral, oropharyngeal, hypopharyngeal and laryngeal sites and age and gender-matched controls
- Identified swallowing disorders
- Performed biomechanical analysis of pharyngeal structural movement
Lazarus et al (1996) - Results

- Delayed triggering of swallow
- Impaired tongue base posterior motion
- Impaired hyo-laryngeal elevation
- Impaired laryngeal closure
- Impaired UES opening
Lazarus et al. (1996) - results

- Reduced pharyngeal structural movement as compared to normal
- Slowed/sluggish movement of pharyngeal structures – results in poorer bolus clearance through pharynx

- Examined 13 oral and oropharyngeal CA patients treated with chemoRT and 13 controls
- Prospective study with pre-tx and 2 month post-tx assessments:
  - VFG assessment
  - Tongue strength assessment
Subjects

- 13 oral and oropharyngeal CA patients
  - 10 males (mean=58)
  - 3 females (mean=57)

- 13 age and gender-matched normal subjects
  - 10 males (mean=56)
  - 3 females (mean=55)
Patients

- 12 patients Stage III-IV, 1 patient Stage I
- 13 patients treated with 7000+ cGy
- 12 patients - concurrent chemotherapy, 1 patient - radiotherapy alone
## Treatment Volume

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ in RT field</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>parotid gland</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>submandibular gland</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oral tongue</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tongue base</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soft palate</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oropharynx</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypopharynx</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>supraglottic larynx</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>entire larynx</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Protocol

- VFG swallow assessment
- Tongue strength assessment
  - maximum strength
  - endurance
- Patients
  - pre-tx and 2 months post-tx
- Normal Subjects
  - one assessment
VFG Data Analysis

- Temporal analysis
- Observations
  - approximate percentage residue
  - number of swallows per bolus
  - percent, timing and etiology of aspiration
  - oropharyngeal swallow efficiency (OPSE)
Results

- Tongue strength did not change significantly from pre to post-tx in oral cancer patients.
- Tongue strength was reduced pre- and post-tx in oral cancer patients as compared to controls.
- Tongue strength correlated with swallow measures including:
  - oral transit time
  - oropharyngeal swallow efficiency (OPSE)
  - percentage oral residue
- Patients demonstrated pharyngeal phase swallowing impairment.
Results

- Aspiration:
  - 1 subject aspirated pre-treatment
  - 7 subjects aspirated post-treatment
    - 6 aspirated after the swallow
    - 1 aspirated during and after the swallow
  - Aspiration was due to pharyngeal phase disorders:
    - reduced tongue base posterior
    - reduced laryngeal elevation and closure
Tongue strength in oral & oropharyngeal CA patients after chemoRT – Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pts - Pre-Tx</th>
<th>Normals</th>
<th>Pts - 2 Months Post-Tx</th>
<th>Normals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Max Strength</strong></td>
<td>37.05</td>
<td>60.15</td>
<td>42.77</td>
<td>60.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(±4.56)</td>
<td>(±3.68)*</td>
<td>(±5.3)</td>
<td>(±3.68)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endurance</strong></td>
<td>30.67</td>
<td>32.85</td>
<td>42.92</td>
<td>32.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(±4.53)</td>
<td>(±3.2)</td>
<td>(±6.81)</td>
<td>(±3.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = p < .01
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Tongue strength and swallowing in oral & oropharyngeal CA patients after chemoRT: 12 month study*

Prospective study examining tongue strength and swallowing over a 12 month period in oral cancer patients treated with primary chemoradiotherapy

Subjects

- 46 subjects with oral and oropharyngeal cancer
  - 35 males (age range 36-78, mean age = 59)
  - 11 females (age range 29-75, mean age = 57)
Tongue strength and swallowing in oral & oropharyngeal CA patients after chemoRT: 12 month study*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timepoint</th>
<th>Mean Strength</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>47.0 (3.1)</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>41.7 (2.6)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>51.0 (3.2)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>57.5 (3.2)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>54.7 (2.7)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Lazarus et al., (2007)
# Diet Type and Evaluation Point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet Type</th>
<th>Pre-tx</th>
<th>1 mo</th>
<th>3 mo</th>
<th>6 mo</th>
<th>12 mo</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n=46</td>
<td>n=35</td>
<td>n=22</td>
<td>n=24</td>
<td>n=16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin liquid</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thick liquid</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pureeds</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft Mastic</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crunchy Mast</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal diet</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral intake &gt; 50%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p-value is for a significant difference across all time points
Results

Max tongue strength was 7.5% higher in patients who could eat more than 50% of their food orally as compared with those who could eat 50% or less (p - .03)
Discussion

- Maximal tongue strength initially worsened (tho not significantly) after chemoradiotherapy and improved over the year post-treatment
- Worsening may be due to side effects of chemoradiotherapy (e.g., mucositis, pain, soreness)
- Improvement likely due to lessening of side effects and improvement in physical status
Discussion

- Diet type tended to shift in the same direction as did tongue strength over the course of the year (i.e., ability to handle oral intake worsened at 1-month, as did tongue strength, and improved over time, as did tongue strength)
- Tongue strength may have played a key role in the ability of patients to handle some types of oral diet
- Tongue strength may have played a key role in the ability of patients to consume more viscous consistencies
Tongue strength in H&N patients treated with radiotherapy to varied sites*

- 13 patients treated with radiotherapy 1 month to 15 years prior to assessment
- All subjects underwent MBS assessment and tongue strength testing
- Tumor sites:
  - 1 oral tongue
  - 5 BOT/tonsil
  - 4 nasopharyngeal
  - 2 hypopharynx
  - 1 larynx

Results

- All subjects demonstrated BOT retraction problems on MBS
- 1 subject demonstrated oral tongue impairment on MBS
- All subjects demonstrated tongue strength impairment
Discussion

- Regardless of tumor site, if oral tongue and/or base of tongue are included in treatment volume, tongue strength can become impaired following XRT.
- Oral and/or pharyngeal phase swallow function can become compromised if tongue strength is reduced.
- Tongue strength can become reduced long after XRT completion.
Organ Preservation Treatment (Radiotherapy ± Chemotherapy) – SLP management

- pre-tx counsel re: possible swallow problems during and post-treatment
- perform baseline evaluation
- provide prophylactic swallow exercises
- monitor swallowing during treatment
- VFG swallow study, if needed
- provide treatment, as needed
- monitor swallowing after tx completion (tissue fibrosis)
Effects of Pretreatment Swallow Exercise on Dysphagia Quality of Life*

- 37 pts who underwent primary XRT or combined CXRT for new H&N tumors
  - 25 underwent pre-XRT/CXRT swallow exercise protocol
  - 12 received swallow tx post-XRT/CXRT (controls, post-XRT mean=14 months (6-20 mo)

- Outcome measure: MD Anderson Dysphagia Inventory administered pre-, post-swallow ex

Effects of Pretreatment Swallow Exercise on Dysphagia Quality of Life

- Swallow Tx Protocol:
  - Mendelsohn maneuver
  - Shaker exercise
  - Tongue-hold maneuver
  - Tongue strengthening
  - Falsetto exercise (in some patients)
Effects of Pretreatment Swallow Exercise on Dysphagia Quality of Life - Results

- Pts in pre-tx exercise group scored sign. higher than post-tx group on the global assessment score of the MDADI, as well as physical and emotional domains.
- Trend towards significance on functional domain, tho not significant.
- T stage did not impact QOL.
- CXRT vs. XRT did not impact QOL.
- Tumor site – pts with BOT CA had higher QOL scores than pharyngeal wall CA.
Organ Preservation Tx – SLP Management – Pre-tx

- pre-treatment counseling
- bedside evaluation
  - site of tumor
  - field of radiotherapy (tumor volume)
  - lingual ROM, strength, sensation
  - jaw ROM
  - triggering of pharyngeal swallow
  - laryngeal elevation
Organ Preservation Tx – SLP
Management – Pre-tx

- provide prophylactic swallow exercises
  - Jaw ROM
  - Tongue ROM
  - Tongue base ROM (gargle, tongue-hold)
  - Tongue strengthening
  - Pharyngeal constrictor ROM (tongue-hold)
  - Mendelsohn
  - Super supraglottic swallow
Radiographic study in organ preservation treatment

- define oral and pharyngeal anatomy and dynamics
- assess bolus volume effects
- assess bolus viscosity effects
- therapeutic strategies
  - maneuvers (effortful, tongue-hold, super supraglottic, Mendelsohn maneuver)
  - thermal/tactile stimulation
Therapy during/after organ preservation treatment

- ROM exercises for oral tongue, base of tongue and jaw
- lingual strengthening exercises
- thermal/tactile stimulation
- appropriate maneuvers (e.g., effortful, super supraglottic, tongue-hold, Mendelsohn, Shaker)
Organ Preservation Treatment - Speech and Voice Management

- Speech - typically not affected
- Resonance - may need a palatal lift
- Voice -
  - modify pitch, loudness, vocal effort
  - vigorous vocal fold adduction exercises are not indicated!
Long-term follow-up after organ preservation treatment

- non-compliance with voice, swallow exercises
- swallow function may deteriorate
- salivary flow may deteriorate over time (or may improve with tx)